IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER

TWO THOUSAND AND SEVEN

:PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION NO : 3832 of 1998

Between:

1 U.Prasad, S/o Rangaiah,

2 Poli Guravaiah, S/o Venkatasubbaiah,

3 P.Balasubramanyam, S/o Doraswamy,

4 V.Chengalraya Reddy, S/o Kuppa Reddy

5 M.Narayanaswamy naidu, Chechuramaiah Naidu,

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The A.P Seeds Development Corporation Ltd., Rep by its MD, HACA Bhavan, Hyd..

2 The Regional Manager, A.P Seeds Development Corporation, Srikalahasthi, Chittoor Dist. **.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus Declaring the proceedings No.SSDC/Padmn./97-98 dt.24-11-97 issued by the 1st respondent, terminating the services of the petitioners as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art.14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and unjust, and set aside the same. Consequently direct the respondents to absorb the petitioners in the services of the Corporation.in any of the posts as per their eligibility and qualification with all consequential benefits and regularise their services .

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support there of and the High Court order dated 19.9.2007 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri V.Sudhakar Reddy , Advocate for the petitioner and of Sri S. Ranga Reddy, Standing Counsel for APSDC the Respondents.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER

Sri V. Sudhakar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners, would submit that the respondents have also failed to adhere to the statutory

requirements under Section 25G and (H) of the Industrial Disputes Act and would rely on the averments in the reply affidavit, wherein details of persons, juniors to the petitioners, who were engaged in compliance with Section 25(g) and (h) of the Industrial Disputes Act are referred to .

Sri S. Ranga Reddy, learned counsel for the respondents, would submit that since a copy of the reply affidavit was served on him two weeks ago, he will have to ascertain this factual position also.

..2

..2…

This Court, in its Order, dated 19.9.2007 had directed the respondents to furnish details of the date of appointment of these 18 persons and that of 5 petitioners including their educational qualifications. In addition to the aforesaid, the Corporation shall also furnish information as to whether persons, juniors to the petitioners., as detailed in the reply affidavit were engaged under Section 25G and H of the Industrial Disputes Act.

Post on 24.10.2007."

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

for ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1 The Manging Director,A.P Seeds Development Corporation Ltd., HACA Bhavan, Hyd..

2 The Regional Manager, A.P Seeds Development Corporation, Srikalahasthi, Chittoor Dist.

3. One CC to Sri V. Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)

4. One spare copy.

RV

RV

Dated 12.10.2007

HIGH COURT

RRJ

Dated 3.10.2007

Note: Post on 24.10.2007

ORDER

W.P.No. 3832 of 1998

Direction

RV

Dated 12.10.2007

HIGH COURT

RRJ

Dated 3.10.2007

Note: Post on 24.10.2007

ORDER

W.P.No. 3832 of 1998

Direction